

*



(90)

: :
: :
(60)

:
(61.8)

Abstract

The study aimed at identifying the degree of availability of comprehensive quality standards in the Arabic language teacher training program in the college of education at Al Aqsa university. It also aimed at finding out the effect of the study variables from teaching staff prospective at Al-Aqsa university in the degree of availability of comprehensive quality standards in the Arabic language teacher training program, To achieve the study aims, the researcher conducted a Questionnaire of (90) items in finalized distributed on five domains. First, quality of objectives of the Arabic language teacher training program. Second, major training quality. Third, educational training quality. Fourth, teaching practice quality. Fifth,

_____*

....

the college and the department administration quality. The researcher used the analytical descriptive approach . The sample of the study was (60) members from Al Aqsa university teaching staff as they were chosen randomly. The study results revealed that the availability of the comprehensive quality standards of the Arabic Language teacher training program was large Percentage (61.8) and there were no statistically significant differences of the comprehensive quality standards of the Arabic language teacher training program availability due to the Full-time, the academic qualification or the service experience variables

:

و

:2003)

(76 2008)

(118

(36 2009)

)

(45 :2010

2013

.(2012)

Hakimi:)

(2009, 91

.(Backhus:2006, 7)

(2010)

(2011)

:

(2005)

(2010)

....

.
 :
 :
 :
 .1
 .2
 .3
):
 (
 :
 :
 .1
 .2
 .3
 ()

2013

: .1

.2

.3

.4

.5

: .1

:
() ()
: .2

:
:
() : .3

....

:
: .1

:

: .2

. 2012 - 2011

: .3

: .4

.()

:

:(2012) .1

:

(40)

(130)

:(2011) .2

(60)

2013

.

:(2011) .3

(16)

% 49

:(2010) .4

(144)

(2010) .5

(152)

(12)

(9)

(71)

:(2010) .6

....

(50)

:(2010) .7

(194)

:(2005) .8

:

.

:

:

.1

:

.2

(2010)

(2012)

(2112)

(2010)

(2010)

(2010)

:

.(2005)

2013

.

.3

.4

.5

.6

:

:

(203 :2012)

....

)

.(415 :2007

(476 :2011)

(Bales: 2004, 1779)

.

:

)

" (282 :2009

:2005)

(221

.(191 :2007)

2013

(Mathes: 2009, 78)

.(772 :2010)

:

:

(2005) (2005)Yger (2002) :
(2009) (2007) (2006)Kimble (2005)

:

: .1

: .2

: .3

: .4

....

: .5

: .6

: .7

: .8

: .9

: .10

: .11

)

(...

.(

)

:

:

2013

(60)

(60)

:

:

(1)

(1)

%69.7	40		
%30.3	20		
%53	31		
%47	29		
%24.2	16	5	
%48.5	26	10 - 5	
%27.3	18	- 11	

(60 :)

:

:

() :

(16) () (15)


) (21) ()

() (20) (

(90) (18)

) ()

(



2013

(2008)

(2)

(2)

	%19.9	%0	1.79	1
	%39.9	%20	2.59	1.80
	%59.9	%40	3.39	2.60
	%79.9	%60	4.19	3.40
	%100	%80	5	4.20

:

:

:

:

(90)

:

:

....

(3)

:

(3)

2		68.8	0.46	3.44		1
3		68.5	0.36	3.41		2
4		67.6	0.35	3.37		3
1		69.3	0.42	3.46		4
5		66.4	0.39	3.32		5
		68.1	0.30	3.4		

(3)

(%69.3)

(3.46)

(68.8)

(3.44)

(68.5)

(3.41)

(67.6)

(3.37)

()

(66.4)

(3.32)

(%66.4)

(3.32)

.(68.1)

(3.4)

2013

(4 5 6 7 8)

:

(4)

"

"

	1	76.0	0.95	3.80		1
	11	67.3	0.84	3.37		2
	14	67.0	0.68	3.35		3
	6	69.3	0.72	3.47		4
	12	67.0	0.99	3.35		5
	7	69.0	1.02	3.45		6
	10	67.3	0.92	3.37		7
	13	66.0	0.83	3.30		8
	5	69.3	0.85	3.47		9
	15	65.3	0.92	3.27		10
	3	71.0	0.85	3.55		11
	4	70.7	0.85	3.53		12
	2	71.3	0.79	3.57	()	13
	8	68.3	1.08	3.42		14
	9	67.7	0.90	3.38		15
		68.8	0.46	3.44		

....

(4)

(3.8) (3.27)

(11 13 1)

(3.55 3.57 3.8)

(%71 %71.3 %76)

(3 8 10)

(3.35 3.3 3.27)

(%67 %66 %65.3)

(7)

(8)

(3.49)

(%68.8)

(5)

:

"

	5	70.3	0.97	3.52	.	1
	12	67.7	0.96	3.38	.	2
	6	70.0	0.79	3.50	.	3
	1	72.7	0.84	3.63	:	4
	13	67.0	0.88	3.35	.	5

2013

	14	66.7	0.86	3.33		6
	4	70.3	0.68	3.52		7
	8	69.0	0.93	3.45	.	8
	10	68.0	0.69	3.40	.	9
	2	72.0	0.74	3.60	.	10
	7	69.3	0.68	3.47	.	11
	16	65.3	0.71	3.27	.	12
	9	68.0	0.69	3.40	.	13
	15	65.7	0.69	3.28	.	14
	11	67.7	0.69	3.38	.	15
	3	70.7	0.79	3.53	.	16
		68.8	0.36	3.44		

(5)

(3.63) (3.27)

(16 10 4)

(3.53 3.57 3.63)

(%70.7 %72 %72.7)

(6 14 12)

(3.33 3.28 3.27)

(%66.7 %65.7 %65.3)

....

(11)

(5)

.(3.44)

(%68.8)

(6)

	10	68.3	0.87	3.42		1
	5	70.3	0.72	3.52	.	2
	19	64.3	0.78	3.22	.	3
	20	63.3	0.72	3.17	.	4
	16	65.7	0.92	3.28		5
	15	65.7	0.90	3.28	.	6
	14	65.7	0.85	3.28	.	7
	9	68.3	0.67	3.42	.	8
	3	71.7	0.79	3.58	.	9
	6	70.0	0.79	3.50	.	10
	7	69.7	0.75	3.48	.	11
	12	66.7	0.84	3.33	.	12
	17	65.3	0.86	3.27	.	13
	21	62.7	0.70	3.13	.	14
	4	70.0	0.65	3.33		15
	2	72.3	0.58	3.62	.	16
	18	64.3	0.61	3.22	.	17

2013

	13	66.0	0.74	3.30		18
	8	68.4	0.72	3.39		19
	11	68.0	0.62	3.40		20
	1	79.0	0.79	3.95		21
		67.6	0.35	3.37		

(6)

16 21)

(3.95) (3.13)

(9)

(3.58 3.62 3.95)

(%71.7 %72.3 %79)

(3 4 14)

(3.22 3.17 3.13)

(%64.3 %63.3 %62.7)

(9)

(12)

....

(3.37)

(%67.6)

(7)

"

"

	5	71.0	0.91	3.55	.	1
	3	72.3	0.88	3.62	.	2
	1	77.0	0.97	3.85	.	3
	2	76.7	0.92	3.83	.	4
	4	71.7	0.98	3.58	.	5
	17	66.7	0.93	3.33	.	6
	16	66.7	1.11	3.33	.	7
	7	69.7	0.91	3.48	.	8
	11	68.7	0.85	3.43	.	9
	6	70.7	0.95	3.53	.	10
	13	68.3	0.83	3.42	.	11
	19	65.7	0.92	3.28	.	12
	12	68.3	0.67	3.42	.	13
	10	68.7	0.95	3.43	.	14
	14	67.3	0.69	3.37	.	15
	9	68.7	0.89	3.43	.	16
	8	69.3	0.70	3.47	.	17
	18	66.0	0.67	3.30	.	18
	14	67.7	0.72	3.38	.	19
	20	64.0	0.78	3.20	.	20
		69.3	0.42	3.46	.	

2013

(2 4 3) (3.2) (3.85) (7)
(3.62 3.83 3.85)
(%72.3 %76.7 %77)

(18 12 20)
(3.30 3.28 3.20)
(%66 %65.7 %64.0)

:

(12)
(8)
(.3.46) (%69.3)

....

"

(8)

"

	18	60.7	0.84	3.03		1
	6	68.0	0.83	3.40		2
	14	65.7	0.87	3.28		3
	3	68.6	0.79	3.43		4
	7	67.9	0.79	3.40		5
	9	67.7	0.80	3.38		6
	13	66.3	0.93	3.32		7
	2	68.7	0.95	3.42		8
	4	68.3	0.87	3.42		9
	16	63.7	0.79	3.18		10
	12	66.3	0.85	3.32		11
	11	66.3	0.85	3.32		12
	8	67.7	0.96	3.38		13
	1	70.5	0.73	3.53		14
	10	64.0	0.84	3.20		15
	17	61.0	0.75	3.05		16
	10	67.0	0.90	3.35		17
	5	68.0	0.89	3.40		18
		66.4	0.39	3.32		

(8)

(4 8 14)

(3.3) (3.53)

2013

(3.43 3.43 3.53)
(%68.6 %68.7 %70.5)

(10 16 1)
(3.18 3.05 3.03)
(%63.7 %61 60.7)

(13)
(5)

(3.32) (66.4)
:

):
:

(

T-test " "

....

(10 11 9)

T-test " " (9)

	sig	()					
	0.53	-0.64	0.48	3.42	40		
			0.44	3.50	20		
	0.17	-1.39	0.38	3.39	40		
			0.30	3.53	20		
	0.60	-0.53	0.34	3.38	40		
			0.39	3.43	20		
	0.09	-1.70	0.40	3.40	40		
			0.43	3.59	20		
	0.09	-1.72	0.36	3.26	40		
			0.43	3.44	20		
	0.12	-1.57	0.27	3.37	40		
			0.34	3.50	20		

(-1.57) () (9)

(-1.70) (-0.53) (-1.39) (-0.64)

(0.05 ≥ α)

(-1.72)

2013

T-test " " (10)

	sig	()					
	0.98	-0.02	0.46	3.44	31		
			0.48	3.44	29		
	0.22	1.25	0.33	3.49	31		
			0.38	3.38	29		
	0.70	-0.39	0.35	3.38	31		
			0.36	3.42	29		
	0.78	0.28	0.42	3.48	31		
			0.41	3.45	29		
	0.88	0.15	0.44	3.33	31		
			0.33	3.31	29		
	0.78	0.27	0.33	3.42	31		
			0.26	3.40	29	دكتورة فأعلى	

(0.27)

" " (10)

(0.28)

(-0.39)

(1.25)

(-0.02)

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

(0.15)

....

(2010)

(11)

	sig						
	0.29	1.28	0.27	2	0.55		
			0.21	57	12.17		
				59	12.72		
	0.22	1.56	0.19	2	0.39		
			0.12	57	7.12		
				59	7.50		
	0.64	0.46	0.06	2	0.12		
			0.13	57	7.20		
				59	7.32		
	0.16	1.91	0.32	2	0.64		
			0.17	57	9.58		
				59	10.22		
	0.81	0.21	0.03	2	0.07		
			0.15	57	8.78		
				59	8.84		
	0.26	1.39	0.12	2	0.25		
			0.09	57	5.07		
				59	5.32		

2013

(1.91) (1.39) (0.46) () (11) (1.56) (1.28)
(0.21)
(0.05 ≥ α)

(2012)
(2010) (2010) .(2011)
:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

		
		:	.1
			.2
			.3
		:	
		" (2010)	.1
)		"	
28-27	(
		.799 -759	
	" (2011)		.2
	"		
		.289-229 40 11	
	" (2012)		.3
	"		
		.239-192 126	
	" (2011)		.4
.480 -461		"	
	" (2010)		.5
"			
.102 -40		"	
	(2010)		.6
	130-96 (5)		

	2013	.
"	" (2005) 46 ص ص 64-87.	.7
	" (2007)	.8
	" ص ص 398-425.	
	" (2010)	.9
)	" 43-68.	
	(" (2009)	.10
	" 64 ص ص 337 – 267.	
	11. [و هـداف، رائد(2009)، "أثر المسرح التعليمي في تدريس بعض موضوعات النحو العربي على تحصيل طلبة الصف الثامن الأساسي"، -	
	" (2005)	.12
15	- "	
	60 .290-182	
	" (2003)	.13
	"	
"	" (2007)	.14
() 175-200.	
	" (2008)	.15
	spss	

-
- " (2008) .16
- (2002) .17
- .274-203 27 8
" (2005) .18
- .195-163 2 19
19. Mathes, mickie . Y (2009)," Case Study in Assuring Quality University " A Workshop in the Conference of Standards accreditation in Arab World, visions and experiences , Almandine Almonawarah , Faculty of Education , Tibah University, in 18-20/5/2009.
 20. Hakimi , abdulateef haidar (2009), " approaches to NCTE accreditation", conference on standards accreditation in Arab world , visions and experiences . almandine almonawarah . faculty of education . taibah university ,in 18-20/5/2009.
 21. Kimble , larry , yger, Robert , a yger , Stuart (2006) : success of professional – development model in assist ion teachers to change their teaching to match the more emphasis conditions urged in the national science education standards " , journal of science teacher education, 717 n309-322.
 22. Yger, Robert (2005):" accomplishing the visions for professional development of teachers advocated in the national science education standards", journal of science education ,716 n2,p95-1020 .
 23. Backhus , de Wayne a Thompson, Kenneth (2006) : " addressing the nature of science in preservice science teacher preparation programs: science educator perceptions", journal of science teacher education, 717 n1 p65-810 .
 24. Bales, Barbra Lynne . (2004) . the spandex quality of performance-based standards in teacher education reform (Wisconsin) , PHD , the university of Wisconsin – Madison, volume 66-03a of dissertations abstracts international , page 1778 .